

COOLEY LLP
JOHN C. DWYER (136533) (dwyerjc@cooley.com)
JEFFREY M. KABAN (235743) (jkaban@cooley.com)
Five Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
Telephone: (650) 843-5000
Facsimile: (650) 849-7400

COOLEY LLP
RYAN E. BLAIR (246724) (rblair@cooley.com)
4401 Eastgate Mall
San Diego, CA 92121-1909
Telephone: (858) 550-6000
Facsimile: (858) 550-6420

COOLEY LLP
KATHLYN A. QUERUBIN (275085) (kquerubin@cooley.com)
101 California Street
Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-5800
Telephone: (415) 693-2000
Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

Attorneys for Defendants
Dynavax Technologies Corporation,
Dino Dina, J. Tyler Martin, and Mark Kessel

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In Re: DYNAVAX TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 3:13-cv-02796-CRB

**STIPULATED REQUEST AND ORDER TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND TO SET A
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR THE MOTION
TO DISMISS**

This Document Relates To:

CLASS ACTION

ALL ACTIONS.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-7(b)(2), Lead Plaintiff Khaled Khalafallah (“Lead Plaintiff”) and Defendants Dynavax Technologies Corporation (“Dynavax”), Dino Dina (“Dina”), J. Tyler Martin (“Martin”), and Mark Kessel (“Kessel”) (collectively, “Defendants” and together with Lead Plaintiff, the “Parties”), by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully stipulate and agree, subject to Court approval, to permit Plaintiff to amend his Consolidated Claim Action Complaint (“CAC”) and to set a briefing schedule for Defendants’ motion to dismiss such amended complaint. In support of this stipulation, the Parties state as follows:

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2013, Lead Plaintiff filed his CAC (Dkt. No. 47);

WHEREAS, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the CAC (“Motion to Dismiss”) on January 10, 2014 (Dkt. No. 54);

WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiff filed an Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss on March 10, 2014 that referred to an exhibit and other information which was not included in the CAC (*see, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 60 at 1 n.2 & 11 n.7);

WHEREAS, the Parties met and conferred and agree that, in the interests of the Parties and judicial economy, Lead Plaintiff should be permitted to amend the CAC and file such amended complaint (the “Amended Complaint”) on or before April 7, 2014;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint should be filed on May 12, 2014, 35 days after the filing of the Amended Complaint; Lead Plaintiff’s Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint should be filed on June 16, 2014, 35 days after the filing of the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint; and Defendants’ Reply in Support of the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint should be filed on July 11, 2014, 25 days after the filing of the Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint.

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss currently scheduled for May 2, 2014 should be vacated and that they will meet and confer on a new hearing date for the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, subject to the Court’s availability.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate, subject to Court approval, as follows:

1. Lead Plaintiff shall file his Amended Complaint no later than April 7, 2014;
2. The deadline for Defendants to file their Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint and all related papers shall be on May 12, 2014;
3. The deadline for Lead Plaintiff to file his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint and all related papers shall be June 16, 2014;
4. The deadline for Defendants to file their Reply in Support of the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint and all related papers shall be July 11, 2014; and
5. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss currently scheduled for May 2, 2014 should be vacated and the parties will meet and confer on a new hearing date for the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, subject to the Court's availability.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Faruqi & Faruqi LLP

DATED: March 25, 2014

By: /s/ Richard W. Gonnello

Richard W. Gonnello (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Francis P. McConville (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Megan M. Sullivan (admitted *pro hac vice*)

369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Telephone: (212) 983-9330

Facsimile: (212) 983-9331

Email: rgonnello@faruqilaw.com

fmcconville@faruqilaw.com

msullivan@faruqilaw.com

David E. Bower (119546)

10866 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1470

Los Angeles, CA 90024

Telephone: (424) 256-2884

Facsimile: (424) 256-2885

Email: dbower@faruqilaw.com

Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Khaled Khalafallah

COOLEY LLP

DATED: March 25, 2014

By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Kaban
John C. Dwyer
Jeffrey M. Kaban
Five Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
Telephone: (650) 843-5000
Facsimile: (650) 849-7400
Email: jdwyer@cooley.com
jkaban@cooley.com

COOLEY LLP

Ryan E. Blair
4401 Eastgate Mall
San Diego, CA 92121-1909
Telephone: (858) 550-6000
Facsimile: (858) 550-6420
Email: rblair@cooley.com

COOLEY LLP

Kathlyn A. Querubin
101 California Street, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-5800
Telephone: (415) 693-2000
Facsimile: (415) 693-2222
Email: kquerubin@cooley.com

*Counsel for Defendants
Dynavax Technologies Corporation,
Dino Dina, J. Tyler Martin, and Mark Kessel*

ATTESTATION (CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3))

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory.

Dated: March 25, 2014

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Jeffrey M. Kaban
Jeffrey M. Kaban (235743)
Counsel for Defendants
Dynavax Technologies Corporation,
Dino Dina J. Tyler Martin, and Mark Kessel

ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: _March 28, 2014

Honorable Charles R. Breyer
United States District Court

